

EXHIBIT 9

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
EASTERN DIVISION

LORI ANN MORRIS,
Plaintiff,

Vs. CIVIL ACTION NO.
3:02-CV-962-T

FLORIDA TRANSFORMER,
EDWARD NEAL THOMPSON,
et al.,
Defendants.

DEPOSITION OF EDWARD L. ROBINSON, taken
pursuant to stipulation and agreement before
Halcy A. Phillips, Certified Shorthand Reporter,
and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large,
in the Law Offices of Henry L. Penick, 319 17th
Street, Birmingham, Alabama, on Thursday, June 22,
2006, commencing at approximately 10:05 a.m.

Page 3

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT INDEX (cont'd)

8	Alabama Uniform Traffic Accident Report	181
9	Map	204
10	Map	206
11	Sworn affidavit of Mr. Robinson	244
12	Report by Robinson & Associates	244
13	Preliminary report number one	257
14	Preliminary report number two	257
15	Preliminary report number three	257
16	Preliminary report number two	261
17	Fax to Mr. Messerschmidt from Attorney Robinson dated March 3, 2005	263
18	Fax to Mr. Robinson from Mr. Messerschmidt dated March 3, 2005	259
19	Fax to Mr. Robinson from Mr. Messerschmidt dated March 10, 2005	273

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT INDEX

1	Curriculum Vitae	274
---	------------------	-----

Page 2

APPEARANCES

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:

Henry L. Penick, Esq.
Attorney at Law
Post Office Box 967
Birmingham, Alabama 35201

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

W. Evans Brittain, Esq.
Richard E. Broughton, Esq.
Ball, Ball, Matthews & Novak
Attorneys at Law
Suite 204
2000 Interstate Park Drive
Montgomery, Alabama 36109

EXAMINATION INDEX

BY MR. BROUGHTON	5
BY MR. PENICK	272
BY MR. BROUGHTON	273

DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT INDEX

1	File of Robinson & Associates	6
2	Field notes	74
3	Field notes	74
4	Photograph	97
5	Handwritten calculations	132
6	Handwritten calculations	132

Page 4

STIPULATION

It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and between counsel representing the parties that the deposition of EDWARD L. ROBINSON is taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and that said deposition may be taken before Halcy A. Phillips, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Commissioner for the State of Alabama at Large, without the formality of a commission, that objections to questions other than objections as to the form of the question need not be made at this time but may be reserved for a ruling at such time as the said deposition may be offered in evidence or used for any other purpose by either party provided for by the Statute.

It is further stipulated and agreed by and between counsel representing the parties in this case that the filing of said deposition is hereby waived and may be introduced at the trial of this case or used in any other manner by either party hereto provided for by the Statute regardless of the waiving of the filing of the same.

It is further stipulated and agreed by and

Page 17

Page 19

1 Q. Do you have any medical training?
 2 A. No, not formal medical training. I've been
 3 involved in paramedical sort of stuff, like
 4 radiation safety and been raised in safety
 5 officer at UAB, but I wasn't involved in
 6 the day-to-day surveys. It was more
 7 administrative. And I've taught courses
 8 in -- a licensure course for ...
 9 Q. Well, you're not a medical doctor?
 10 A. No, I'm not.
 11 Q. You don't hold yourself out as an expert in
 12 this case being able to determine cause of
 13 death?
 14 A. Oh, no. No. One thing that you were
 15 asking about formal education, I would go
 16 to experience also. At one point I had a
 17 joint appointment in the forensic science
 18 department from the justice program, a
 19 graduate program. And I did for a period
 20 of time give lectures in one of their
 21 introductory graduate courses, a couple
 22 hours lecture on accident reconstruction.
 23 I didn't try to make an accident

Page 18

1 reconstructionist out of people in two
 2 hours, but I tried to show them the
 3 physical basis of it and show them more or
 4 less what could or couldn't be done, so
 5 they would know when to yield for a
 6 reconstruction and when it wasn't going to
 7 be applicable.
 8 Q. Do you have any training in biomechanics?
 9 A. No.
 10 Q. So you don't hold yourself out in this case
 11 as an expert in biomechanics?
 12 A. No.
 13 Q. You're not holding yourself out as an
 14 expert in this case on what specific
 15 injuries might have caused the death of
 16 Mr. Morris in this case?
 17 A. No.
 18 Q. Do you hold yourself out as an expert on
 19 what -- in this case on what specific
 20 objects caused any specific injuries to
 21 Mr. Morris?
 22 A. Well, in the sense of discerning between
 23 the most likely result of the truck

1 overturn versus the impact by the other
 2 truck, I think that we can make some
 3 separation on that.
 4 Q. Well, wouldn't a biomechanic --
 5 biomechanical expert be the proper person
 6 qualified to determine what physical
 7 injuries on Mr. Morris' body were caused by
 8 what particular objects during the accident
 9 sequence?
 10 A. I'm not trying to do that.
 11 Q. All right, sir.
 12 A. My general experience in overturned trucks
 13 is that the injuries that Mr. Morris
 14 received -- the very serious injuries I've
 15 never seen before in an overturned truck
 16 accident, so I would think it highly
 17 unlikely that these injuries would have
 18 been associated with the overturn. On the
 19 other hand, impact by another vehicle of
 20 comparable mass at 70 miles an hour or 60
 21 miles an hour would be expected to cause
 22 some very serious injuries.
 23 Q. But you're not testifying as to any

Page 20

1 specific injuries on Mr. Morris' body were
 2 caused by any specific objects during the
 3 accident sequence?
 4 A. I'm not trying to bring it down to that
 5 point, no.
 6 Q. You're not going to give any opinions on
 7 that?
 8 A. I'm just going to say that I think his
 9 serious injuries were due to the impact,
 10 not the overturn.
 11 Q. While we're on that, what -- at what
 12 speed -- Did you calculate a speed of
 13 the -- And I'm going to call for purposes
 14 of this deposition -- And we're going to
 15 get this confused I'm sure, because I
 16 confuse it in my mind. I'm going to try to
 17 differentiate between Mr. Morris' vehicle
 18 by calling it the Kenworth vehicle and the
 19 vehicle driven by Mr. Thompson by calling
 20 it the Peterbilt vehicle. Now, we both
 21 know that that's just referring to the
 22 tractor -- or the truck part of the rig,
 23 not the trailer?

Page 85

1 belt.
2 Q. Are you aware of any studies that have been
3 done to determine injuries received from
4 seat belts?

5 A. No.

6 Q. You're not testifying today either way as
7 to whether or not the fatal injuries to
8 Mr. Morris were caused by the seat belt?

9 A. I don't know. It's certainly possible in
10 the kind of impact that he got that just
11 the inertia forces and the weight of his
12 body could have done significant damage
13 like breaking bones.

14 Q. Have you ever worked in a case for either
15 side where there was a fatality in a
16 rollover?

17 A. I'm sure I have. I can't put my finger on
18 one right now. But, yeah, it's not
19 uncommon for fatalities in rollovers with
20 cars.

21 Q. Well, you're not ruling out the fact that
22 Mr. Morris could have been fatally injured
23 during the rollover in this case?

Page 86

1 A. In my own mind, yes. Because the nature of
2 the injuries is not such that would be on
3 the left side of his body. I mean, he
4 would have bilateral injuries. And that's
5 not going to happen when he -- from that
6 rollover.

7 Q. But you don't know what injuries caused his
8 death?

9 A. I haven't -- All I've done is look at the
10 autopsy report. I haven't tried to make
11 any determination beyond that.

12 Q. And it would be fair to say that Mr. Morris
13 could have received fatal injuries in this
14 case during the rollover?

15 A. I don't believe that. Because he's belted
16 in, and I don't believe he would have
17 ejected from the simple rollover with his
18 seat belt on.

19 Q. We don't know -- You don't know -- Because
20 you're not a medical expert, you don't know
21 whether or not he received fatal injuries
22 before ejection, do you?

23 A. Well, I don't think a medical expert could

Page 87

1 necessarily tell you that. He could tell
2 you what the -- what injuries were
3 associated with the fatality. But I don't
4 think you've got the kinds of forces
5 involved with the rollover by a factor of
6 ten or 100 to cause the kind of injuries
7 that you can get from these impacts.
8 Q. But that -- that -- And I understand -- I
9 understand you want to give your personal
10 opinion, observation that you think it was
11 more like -- that you think it was more
12 likely in your personal opinion that the
13 impact forces or that injuries received
14 after the impact with the Peterbilt could
15 have caused Mr. Morris' death. But what
16 I'm getting at is you don't have a
17 professional opinion because you're not a
18 medical -- you're not a medical expert,
19 you're not a biomechanical expert, you
20 haven't determined what specific injuries
21 were caused by what specific objects or
22 forces in this case, so you can't give an
23 opinion to any degree of reasonable medical

Page 88

1 certainty as to what caused Mr. Morris'
2 death in this case?

3 A. No, I can't give a medical opinion or a
4 biomedical (sic) engineering opinion. I'm
5 just basing it on experience looking at
6 other vehicle wrecks over the last 40 years
7 as to what kind of forces won't cause what
8 kind of injuries.

9 Q. But you do agree that people have been
10 fatally injured in rollover accidents?

11 A. They have.

12 Q. The next comment on Defendant's Exhibit 2
13 says no evidence the belt was slash
14 something?

15 A. Was not worn.

16 Q. No evidence the belt was not worn except
17 the belt locked fully.

18 A. Uh-huh (positive response). In extended
19 position.

20 Q. What does that mean?

21 A. Well, belt locked fully extended.

22 Q. Belt locked fully extended.

23 A. Right.

stretch mark would have required severe enough impact that, in my opinion, that truck wouldn't still be in use if that stretch mark had come from a prior accident.

Q. What sort of force in foot-pounds or force measurements is required to make that mark that you call a stretch mark on the belt fabric?

A. My estimate would be several thousand pounds. I believe the tensile of those belts is something like 5,000 or 6,000 pounds. So you'd have to have some fraction -- some reasonable fraction of that to cause the stretch marks.

In a case of fairly low speed accidents, I've seen quantitative data where collisions with barriers for automobiles at 20, 25 miles an hour with results in accelerations on the occupants of 20 Gs of acceleration. This means for a few tens of milliseconds -- 100 milliseconds that the apparent weight of

1 the occupant is 20 times what his normal
2 weight is. So a 100-pound person would
3 suddenly weigh a ton. 20 Gs, a ten-pound
4 baby suddenly weighs 200 pounds. That's
5 the reason they tell you don't hold a baby
6 in the car. You can't hold it during that
7 impact.

8 I think the kinds of forces we're
9 talking about with a 60 or 70 miles an hour
10 impact with the other truck would result in
11 forces significantly higher than that. I'm
12 thinking we're talking in terms of several
13 tens of Gs. So the man sitting here in the
14 seat with the bottom facing the truck and
15 the truck hits it, it may momentarily be
16 100 Gs. This 100-pound man may for a few
17 milliseconds weigh 10,000 pounds for
18 just -- His bounce back from the seat then
19 can stretch that belt very readily. We're
20 talking about tremendous force.

21 Q. Have -- And I appreciate the information
22 you gave us. Did you or Messerschmidt do
23 any calculations in this case to determine

1 Q. Okay. He didn't give you a reason? You
2 don't keep a personnel file on employees
3 like that?

4 A. No.

5 Q. The -- We marked these, too, didn't we?
6 Defendant's Exhibits 11 and 12 are your
7 sworn affidavit and your March final
8 version of your report; correct?

9 A. Right.

10 Q. All right. And I want to mark as --
11 Defendant's Exhibits 13, 14 and 15 will
12 be -- I'm going to mark these up at the top
13 of the page -- what we understand from our
14 quick review to be preliminary reports one,
15 two and three.

16 (Defendant's Exhibits 13, 14 and 15
17 were marked for identification.)

18 Q. They're not numbered, but I'm numbering
19 them Plaintiff's -- I mean Defendant's
20 Exhibits 13, 14 and 15. And I'll just go
21 one at a time.

22 Defendant's Exhibit 13 is this
23 version. Now, we can look at it together.

1 Or do you have a copy? Is that your --
2 Well, I'll just ask you. Is that your --
3 Is that your initial report?

4 A. That was the initial draft of the report,
5 yes. This was not sent out.

6 Q. Who was that sent to?

7 A. It was internal. You said you wanted the
8 entire file, so I downloaded everything
9 that was on the computer. What happens is
10 when we do a preliminary report and save
11 it, then when we start modifying it. We
12 usually pull it up, reopen it and resave it
13 in case of a computer glitch. So we end up
14 with the draft and the second draft and the
15 third draft and so forth.

16 Q. All right. So that -- Do you know when
17 that preliminary first draft was issued?

18 A. I don't have a date on what's marked
19 preliminary report. Preliminary reports
20 two and three are both 3/17/05.

21 Q. All right. Did you get any feedback -- Did
22 you submit the first preliminary report,
23 Defendant's Exhibit 13 -- did you submit

1 that one to Attorney Robinson or Attorney
2 Penick?

3 A. Not to Penick. I can't recall if I showed
4 that one to Robinson or not. I remember
5 editing pretty heavily one of the things
6 that he suggested, and I think that was the
7 sworn affidavit. I may have faxed him a
8 copy of the preliminary report to show him
9 what we were -- what areas we were
10 covering. Yeah. He has --

11 (Defendant's Exhibit 18 was marked
12 for identification.)

13 Q. Let me show you Defendant's Exhibit 18.

14 A. Yes. I did send him preliminary report
15 number two.

16 Q. Well, Defendant's Exhibit 18 is -- looks to
17 be a fax cover sheet March 3, 2005. Is
18 that the original preliminary report
19 transmittal to Attorney Robinson?

20 A. I think it was.

21 Q. Okay. And that goes with, then,
22 Defendant's Exhibit 13; right? That was
23 the cover fax for Defendant's Exhibit 13?